Date: 6/20/68	
nit the following in	
(Type in plaintext or code)	fri
AIRTEL	-
(Priority)	
	•
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (44-38861)	1
FROM: (N LEGAT, LONDON (88-72) (P)	1
MURKIN')	\$2.5 1.5 1.5
Efforts by New Scotland Yard to photograph flourescent laundry markings were unsuccessful.	
At the time of his arrest, RAY was in possession of a Wittnauer Geneve wristwatch. Scotland Yard has determined that this watch, which is made in Switzerland, is manufactured exclusively for the American market and Scotland Yard has been advised that no jeweler in London has the special tools required to open the case without inflicting serious damage. Therefore, it has not been possible to determine the serial number, if any. The watch, together with RAY's other personal possessions, will be turned over to Bureau representatives when RAY is removed to the United States.	
RAY's personal possessions include a receipt dated 6/7/68 for one pound, fifteen shillings. Scotland Yard determined this was issued by a men's wear shop at 330 Vauxhall Road, S.W. 1, London. The manager was unable to identify RAY or the person to whom the receipt was issued but the records of the shop indicate that the receipt was issued for a rollneck pullover sweater. No such sweater was found among RAY's possessions.	
Scotland Yard requested RAY's solicitor to provide information regarding RAY's whereabouts, May 17-28, 1968. The solicitor informed the Yard that RAY refused to cooperate and RAY also refused to be interviewed by Scotland Yard regarding his whereabouts or activities in England.	
Scotland Yard has checked all car rental agencies in London for the period of 5/17-6/8/68 without finding any record of the subject. 3 - Bureau	
3 - Bureau 1 - Liaison (direct)	1,10
WLM: VW 7 JUN 25 1968	-

Sent _

Special Agent in Charge

Lon 88-72

London airtel 6/8/68 sets forth a copy of the charge sheet at Cannon Row Police Station. On page 2, fifth item from the bottom, is listed a BOAC ticket :No.0614/4256/8753, London to Toronto. The charge sheet is in error in that this ticket is actually for passage from London to Lisbon.

The revolver in RAY's possession when arrested has been examined by a Scotland Yard ballistics expert who concluded it had been fired several times since it was last cleaned. He found no reason to believe the gun had been recently fired.

With regard to the call made from Houston, Texas, 6/9/68 by one who attempted to call RAW person to person, it is noted the original information alleged is connected with Cannon Row Police Station, London and was referred to Chief Superintendent Butler but the night duty officer declined to call BUTLER. Scotland Yard has been unable to identify the night duty officer in question or to develop any information regarding this call.

Under the reporting procedures in use by Scotland Yard, the results of negative investigation, as well as details of the nature and scope of investigation conducted are not recorded in report form and are not collected in any central file. This material appears only in the "pocket book" carried by each officer to record his activities. The formal report is limited to a brief account of information intended to actually be introduced as evidence. Chief Inspector who is coordinating the investigation, is having a "schedule" prepared which will show details of the nature and scope of investigation conducted. This is an unusual procedure and requires a great deal of effort by many Scotland Yard officers. This is being closely followed on a daily basis and when received the schedule will be immediately reviewed, after which any additional investigation that appears necessary will be discussed with Scotland Yard.

ARTHUR HANES, accompanied by his son, arrived in London, 8:40 AM, 6/20/68, via TWA Flight 704. He was not met by the Embassy and has had no contact with the Embassy. In order to see RAY he will have to apply through RAY's British solicitor or through the Home Office. HANES is staying at the Royal Lancaster Hotel.

In a London press conference, 6/20/68, HANES reportedly stated he has never met the subject but was retained by a letter signed R. G. SNEYD, dated 6/10/68, and forwarded to HANES through the Birmingham Bar Association. HANES said he is a lawyer who works

Lon 88-72

for fees and does not work for nothing but he declined to identify the source of his fees. He indicated he probably will not see RAY in London but wanted to confer with RAY's British attorneys.